



What Are the Earth Blobs? The DDT Legacy Forams Forever

VENUS ISALIVE

Let's go back to this "criminally underexplored" world



EOS CENTENNIAL COLLECTION

AGU100 ADVANCINI SPACE SCIE

The Mystery of the Moon's Missing Metals

he Moon's missing precious metals may never have arrived in the first place. Colliding asteroids delivered metals such as gold and iridium to both Earth and the Moon early in the solar system's history, with Earth collecting more than its smaller satellite. But samples of Moon rocks collected by Apollo missions revealed that the Moon had significantly less material than could be accounted for by its size. New research now suggests that the dearth may be caused in part by the Moon's inability to hold on to some of these metals, with the late crystallization of the lunar mantle also playing a role.

The early solar system was a violent place, with material left over from planet formation crashing into newborn worlds. One collision carved the Moon out of Earth, leaving both worlds briefly molten as their layers settled out. Precious metals, which have an affinity for iron, sank to the cores of newborn worlds, removing them from the crust and mantle. Any of these highly siderophile elements (HSEs) found in the crust today were delivered by collisions with smaller debris.

Because Earth presents a larger target than the Moon, scientists anticipated that the Moon should have roughly 20 times less HSEs at its surface. Instead, Apollo samples revealed that the satellite contains roughly 1,000 times less precious metals than Earth, suggesting that perhaps it was hit by significantly fewer objects. The discrepancy has had scientists scratching their head for the past few decades.

"We identify that a key factor for the interpretation of the HSE record is the impactor retention rate—the fraction of mass retained by the target," Meng-Hua Zhu, a scientist at China's Macau University of Science and Technology, said by email.

Zhu's team modeled how much material the Moon collected from impacts on the basis of their size and the angle at which they hit. The researchers assumed that the more massive Earth retained the bulk of the precious metals delivered to it, an assumption that Simone Marchi calls "reasonable." Marchi is a researcher at the Southwest Research Institute in Colorado who models the formation and bombardment of terrestrial planets and the Moon.

Previous simulations had suggested that the Moon held on to roughly 60% of the material that collided with it, but that still was not enough to explain the HSE difference. Zhu's



Objects over 100 kilometers across slammed into the Moon to produce impact basins from several hundred to over 2,000 kilometers in diameter. This artist's rendering captures the moment of first contact between one o those asteroids and the surface of the Moon. Credit: Leanne Woolley and David A. Kring, LPI

team made more detailed simulations of lunar collisions that included a wider variety of impactor sizes, velocities, and angles than ever before. The researchers found that the Moon's smaller size and lower gravity mean that most of the impacting material didn't stick around and either escaped the Moon's gravitational grasp or was blown completely from the surface. Although rocks that slammed head-on into the Moon became a permanent part of the lunar composition, other objects only skimmed the surface.

The new research reveals that the Moon holds on to only about 20% of the material that it collides with, 3 times less than previously assumed.

"This strikingly low value changes previous considerations on the Moon's late accretionary history and suggests that significantly more material must have hit the Moon for a given HSE budget than previously envisioned," Zhu said.

The new research was published in July in the journal *Nature* (bit.ly/moon-accretion).

A Magma Ocean

The amount of debris lost from lunar collisions filled in only part of the discrepancy, bringing the Earth-Moon difference down to only about 100. So Zhu's team turned to the lunar magma ocean.

After the collision that birthed the Moon, the surface of both worlds remained molten. Previous studies have suggested that Earth's magma surface took somewhere between 5 million and 10 million years to solidify into a rocky crust. Apollo samples suggest that the smaller Moon took longer to solidify, between 150 million and 200 million years, although not all scientists agree with this analysis. "It's a problem that's been debated for years," said James Day, a geologist at the University of California, San Diego.

Assuming a long-lived molten mantle, material that slammed into Earth roughly 15 million years after the Moon formed would have polluted the terrestrial crust. But materials colliding with the Moon at the same time would have been absorbed by its mantle and worked their way down to the core. The difference gives Earth a head start in collecting HSEs on its surface and could explain the rest of the discrepancy.

Although Day appreciates the implications of the new collisional model, he's less certain about the molten magma explanation. "Purely from a geochemical point of view, that is still a problem," he said. "I don't think it's a fatal flaw in their model by any stretch of the imagination, but it's something that needs to be considered."

Marchi also has concerns about the Moon's magma ocean. According to the new research, the Moon should have 3-8 times more basins than those that visibly scar its surface today. Zhu argues that the magma ocean would quickly obliterate both crater rims and gravitational signatures, but Marchi isn't certain. Although objects slamming into the Moon immediately after its formation should have been absorbed, it's possible that cooling over the intervening tens of millions of years would have prevented a complete erasure of crater signatures.

"It may be possible, but I think it requires further investigation," Marchi said.

By **Nola Taylor Redd** (@NolaTRedd), Freelance Journalist