BBC SEE SATURN'S RINGS BEFORE THEY TILT AWA

THE UK'S BEST-SELLING ASTRONOMY MAGAZINE

Prime time for the PERSEDS

#219 AUGUST 2023

SUPER BLUE MOON See two perigee full Moons this month Details inside

Why summer's best meteor shower promises a spectacular display

10 SIGHTS TO MAKE YOU LOVE THE MOON AGAIN

THE WILDEST WEATHER IN THE SOLAR SYSTEM ACTIVITY ON THE SUN: IS THE BEST YET TO COME?

GET READY FOR THE U.S. SOLAR ECLIPSES

> *IOPTRON'S COMPACT GO-TO MOUNT ON TEST*

Our experts examine the hottest new research



Lunar landings could create a dust dilemma

Moondust kicked up by spacecraft may cause problems for those in orbit

here are plans for a lot of crewed

missions to the Moon in the coming years. Artemis, led by NASA but with involvement of ESA, JAXA and the Canadian Space Agency as well as commercial spaceflight partners, aims to return the first humans to the Moon since the Apollo programme. If all goes well, this will be followed by the establishment of permanent human settlements on the lunar surface. All of this would involve landing not just the crews themselves, but all the habitats and infrastructure needed to support them on the surface, and so requiring a lot more – and crucially, much heavier – landers than used by Apollo.

One important consideration for such massive landers, say Philip Metzger at the Florida Space Institute and James Mantovani at NASA Kennedy Space Center, is how much surface material their rockets will kick up into space. Because the Moon is airless and has much lower gravity than Earth, these sprays of ejecta particles can be blasted at high speeds right up to orbital altitudes. "Because the Moon is airless and has much lower gravity, sprays of ejecta particles can be blasted right up to orbital altitudes"



A key part of the planned human exploration of the Moon will be the Lunar Gateway, a space station in lunar orbit that will serve as a staging point for missions down to the surface. Metzger and Mantovani calculated that every square metre of the Gateway would experience around 10,000 impacts of dust-grain-sized particles as it orbited. But even considering as many as 100 landings onto the Moon, and assuming that Gateway passes through each lingering ejecta sheet 10 times, less than 0.1 per cent of Gateway's structure will be abraded to a few microns deep. The authors note that this damage should nonetheless be taken into account when designing the Gateway and its operation.

However, the Lunar Gateway will orbit between 1,500km and 70,000km from the surface. For a spacecraft in low lunar orbit the situation is much worse. Metzger and Mantovani considered a spacecraft orbiting at an altitude of 110km above

the lunar surface, matching the parking

orbit of the Apollo command modules. At this lower altitude, the spacecraft

has a much higher orbital velocity of around 5,800km/h and as the ejecta hasn't climbed as far against the Moon's gravity, it will be travelling at a much faster 16,000km/h. On top of that, the plume won't have dispersed as much, so the density of impacts will be greater

too. Such a low orbiting spacecraft could sustain extensive damage, the researchers

S

Prof Lewis Dartnell is an astrobiologist at the University of Westminster

calculating that around 4 per cent of any exposed glass would be pitted and eroded. This could impair visibility through windows and reduce the powergenerating ability of solar panels.

The news isn't all bad, though. As well as outlining the hazards, the researchers describe possible solutions. The first surface missions could construct robust landing pads that would greatly reduce the amount of material ejected up into orbit, or landings could be carefully choreographed to ensure orbiting spacecraft miss the worst of the ejecta sheets.

Lewis Dartnell was reading... *The Damage to Lunar Orbiting Spacecraft Caused by the Ejecta of Lunar Landers* by Philip T Metzger and James Mantovani **Read it online at: arxiv.org/abs/2305.12234**